UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,490	12/11/2003	Mark Zdeblick	PRTS-012	1638
61487 7590 10/18/2007 BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP (PROTEUS BIOMEDICAL, INC)			EXAMINER	
			NASSER, ROBERT L	
1900 UNIVERSITY AVENUE, SUITE 200 EAST PALO ALTO, CA 94303		200	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3735	
			MAIN DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			So					
1		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/734,490	ZDEBLICK ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Robert L. Nasser	3735					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sisons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMU R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may be riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) No tatute, cause the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	23 July 2007.						
·		This action is non-final.						
3)□								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,6-52 and 54-95 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-3,6-47,54-56 and 69-95 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 48-52, 57-68 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers		·					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.						
•		accepted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.					
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Information	ct(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application					

Application/Control Number: 10/734,490

Art Unit: 3735

Claims 1-3, 6-47, 54-56, and 69-95 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention***, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 1/29/2007.

Claims 48-52 and 57-65 are objected to in that there s no antecedent basis for the on common electrical conductor in line 7, as the basis is for simply one conductor.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 48, 49, 52, 57-63, and 66-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Edwards et al 6163716. Edwards shows a device including a body including catheter 118, rod 154 and cap 74, a plurality of effectors connected to the body, where each effector includes a spline 76 having sensing and ablation electrodes thereon which are associated identifiable processor in microconnector 92 (see figure 20 and column 9, beginning at line 13). In figures 22 and 24, as discussed in column 10, lines 16-24, each effector, i.e. processor, is connected to a common power line, as there is only one power line for the entire circuit. Also, the power lines extends through ferrule 132. Hence, there is a common conductor that connects to the effectors via surface penetration. Claim 49 is rejected in that the term "cardiac pacing" is an

Application/Control Number: 10/734,490

Art Unit: 3735

intended use limitation and is met if the reference is capable of the use. Here, structurally, a lead is a lead and hence the lead of Edwards is a pacing lead. Claims 57-61 are rejected in the effectors include an ablation actuator, i.e. it provides electric current and/or heat and also Edwards teaches that the electrodes can be sensing electrodes, which sense electrical potential. Claims 66 and 67 are rejected in that Edwards has in figures 22 and 24, as discussed above, 2 leads 122 and 124 which are common to all the effectors. Claim 68 is rejected in that there is an electrical circuit.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 50, 51, 63, 64, and 65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Edwards et al. Claim 50 is rejected in that there are multiple conductors extending outwardly through the body from the effector. However, there is only one lumen in the body. Applicant has not stated that having a separate lumen for each conductor solves a stated problem or is for a particular purpose. Therefore, it is the examiner's position that the number of lumens would have been a mere matter of design choice for one skilled in the art. Claim 51 is rejected in that there are multiple splines, hence multiple effectors mounted through one or more surface penetrations. Claims 63 and 64 are rejected in that there are 3 leads emanating from the effectors, including power and data. The examiner notes that there inherently is a ground lead as

Application/Control Number: 10/734,490

Art Unit: 3735

well. Claim 65 is rejected in that at least a portion of the leads are encapsulated by solder.

Applicant's arguments filed 7/23/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has asserted that Edwards does not have a common conductor. He examiner disagrees, as discussed above, in that in figures 22 and 24, the power (+) 122 and power (-) 124 conductors are common.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert L. Nasser whose telephone number is 571 272-4731. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor II can be reached on 571 272-4730. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert L. Nasser Primary Examiner Art Unit 3735

RLN October 9, 2007